



$N$  coincides with the union of sets of solutions of the linear systems. Various aspects of this problem were investigated in [2-8].

In this paper we prove an upper bound for the length of the shortest coset covering based on some properties of the stabilizer of the subset  $N$ , considering the action of the General Affine Group on  $F_q^n$ .

**2. General Affine Group and Coset Coverings.** Consider affine transformations of  $F_q^n$  of the form  $y = xA + b$ , where  $x, y$  and  $b \in F_q^n$ , and  $A$  is an  $(n \times n)$ -dimensional non-degenerate matrix over  $F_q$ . We refer to an affine transformation as a pair  $(A, b)$ . The General Affine Group acts naturally on  $F_q^n$ , on the set of all subsets in  $F_q^n$  and on the set of all cosets in  $F_q^n$ , and coset dimension remains invariant under this action. Thus, if two subsets  $N_1$  and  $N_2$  are in the same orbit then, obviously, any coset covering for  $N_1$  can be transformed to a coset covering of the same length for  $N_2$  by an appropriate affine transformation, and coset covering properties are invariant under the action of the General Affine Group.

**Definition 2** A set  $T$  of affine transformations is a coset if whenever  $(A_1, b_1), (A_2, b_2), \dots, (A_m, b_m)$  are in  $T$ , so is  $\left( \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i A_i, \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i b_i \right)$  for any  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m$  in  $F_q$  such that  $\sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i = 1$ .

For a given set of affine transformations one can consider coset covering and the shortest coset covering.

**Definition 3.** Let  $G$  be a subgroup in the General Affine Group. The coset rank of  $G$  is the length of its shortest coset covering, which is denoted by  $CR(G)$ .

Let  $N \subseteq F_q^n$  and  $Stab(N)$  be the stabilizer of  $N$  under the action of the General Affine Group. Any subgroup  $G$  in the stabilizer  $Stab(N)$  acts on  $N$  splitting  $N$  into disjoint orbits of elements. We denote the number of orbits by  $\#orb_G(N)$ .

**3. The main Theorem. Theorem 4.** The length of the shortest coset covering for a set  $N \subseteq F_q^n$  is not greater than  $CR(G) \times \#orb_G(N)$  for any subgroup  $G$  in  $Stab(N)$ . This upper bound is achievable and cannot be improved.

**Proof.** For  $x \in N$  consider its orbit  $orb_G(x) = \{xA + b \mid (A, b) \in G\}$ . Let  $L$  be the shortest coset covering for  $G$  and  $C \in L$  be a coset of affine transformations. It can be readily verified that  $M(x, C) \stackrel{def}{=} \{xA + b \mid (A, b) \in C\}$  is a coset in  $N$ . Indeed, for any  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m$  in  $F_q$  such that  $\sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i = 1$  and any  $xA_1 + b_1, xA_2 + b_2, \dots, xA_m + b_m$  from  $M(x, C)$  we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i (xA_i + b_i) = \sum_{i=1}^m x\lambda_i A_i + \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i b_i = x \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i A_i + \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i b_i$$

Obviously,  $\left( \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i A_i, \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i b_i \right) \in C$  and  $x \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i A_i + \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i b_i \in M(x, C)$ ; therefore,

$M(x, C)$  is a coset in  $N$ . This immediately implies that  $orb_G(x) = \bigcup_{c \in L} M(x, C)$  is a

coset covering for  $orb_G(x)$  of the length  $CR(G)$ . Applying the same procedure to each orbit in  $N$ , we obtain a coset covering for  $N$  of the length  $CR(G) \cdot \#orb_G(N)$ . This completes the proof.

**4. The Upper Bound is Exact.** In this section we show that the upper bound in theorem 4 is achievable and, thus, exact.

Let  $f(\theta) = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1\theta + \dots + \alpha_{n-1}\theta^{n-1} + \theta^n$  be a normalized primitive polynomial with  $\deg(f) = n$  over  $F_q$  and

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & -\alpha_0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & -\alpha_1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & -\alpha_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & -\alpha_{n-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

be the companion matrix for  $f(\theta)$ . Obviously,  $\alpha_0 \neq 0$  and  $\det A = (-1)^n \alpha_0$ . As known from algebra (see [9]), the set  $F_{q^n}^*$  of non-zero elements of the finite field  $F_{q^n}$  that form a cyclic group can be represented by powers of  $A$ , i.e.  $F_{q^n}^* = \{E, A, A^2, \dots, A^{q^n-2}\}$ . The elements of the field  $F_{q^n}$  can be represented by polynomials over  $A$  of degree less than  $n$  with coefficients in  $F_q$ , i.e. each element in  $F_{q^n}$  is represented by a unique polynomial  $\beta_0 E + \beta_1 A + \dots + \beta_{n-1} A^{n-1}$ ,  $\beta_i \in F_q, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . The field  $F_{q^n}$  can be considered as an  $n$ -dimensional linear space over  $F_q$ , i.e.  $F_q^n$ .

Let us take  $N \stackrel{def}{=} F_q^n \setminus \{0\}$  and  $G \stackrel{def}{=} F_{q^n}^* = \{E, A, A^2, \dots, A^{q^n-2}\}$ . It is clear that  $G$  is a subgroup in the General Affine Group and also is a subgroup in the stabilizer of  $N$ . In [10] it is proven that the length of the shortest coset covering for  $F_q^n \setminus \{0\} = F_{q^n}^*$  is equal to  $n(q-1)$  and shortest covering can be chosen to consist of cosets of  $\dim = n-1$ . Therefore,  $CR(G) = n(q-1)$  and the length of the shortest coset covering for  $N$  is also equal to  $n(q-1)$ . In fact all elements in  $N$  lie in a single orbit under the action of  $G$ . Indeed, affine transformation defined by the matrix  $A$  maps any vector  $(\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{n-1}) \in N$  into  $(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{n-1}, \gamma_n = -\alpha_0\gamma_0 - \alpha_1\gamma_1 - \dots - \alpha_{n-1}\gamma_{n-1})$ . This means that the orbit of a non-zero vector  $(\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{n-1})$  coincides with the sequence of states of a Linear

Feedback Shift Register that generates a periodic sequence with a connection (characteristic) polynomial  $g(\theta) = 1 + \alpha_{n-1}\theta + \alpha_{n-2}\theta^2 + \dots + \alpha_1\theta^{n-1} + \alpha_0\theta^n$  with  $(\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{n-1})$  as the initial state (see [9, 11]). But  $g(\theta) = \theta^n f\left(\frac{1}{\theta}\right)$ , thus  $g(\theta)$  is the reciprocal polynomial of  $f(\theta)$ , which means that both polynomials have the same period equal to  $q^n - 1$  and the above Linear Feedback Shift Register generates a maximal-length sequence of the period  $q^n - 1$ . Therefore, the length of the orbit is equal to  $q^n - 1$  and it consists of all non-zero vectors in  $F_q^n$ , i.e. coincides with  $N$ . According to the theorem 4 the length of the shortest coset covering for  $N$  is not greater than  $CR(G) \times \#orb_G(N) = n(q-1) \times 1 = n(q-1)$ , but, in fact, as indicated above, it is exactly equal to  $n(q-1)$ , thus the upper bound from the theorem 4 is achieved.

If we define  $N$  as above equal to  $F_q^n \setminus \{0\}$  and take  $G$  equal to the General Linear Group  $GL_n(F_q)$  then clearly  $G$  is a subgroup in  $Stab(N)$ . Obviously all vectors in  $N$  lie in a single orbit, therefore, due to theorem 4 the length of the shortest coset covering for  $N$  is not greater than  $CR(GL_n(F_q)) \times 1$ .

**Corollary 5.**  $CR(GL_n(F_q)) \geq n(q-1)$

Yerevan State University  
e-mail: araalex@gmail.com

**A. A. Alexanian, A. V. Minasyan**

### **An Upper Bound for the Complexity of Coset Covering of Subsets in a Finite Field**

An upper bound is proven for the length of the shortest coset covering of a subset in a finite field, based on some properties of the stabilizer of the subset, considering the action of the General Affine Group.

**Ա. Ա. Ալեքսանյան, Ա. Վ. Մինասյան**

### **Վերջավոր դաշտի ենթաբազմությունների հարակից դասերով ծածկույթի բարդության վերին գնահատականը**

Ապացուցվել է վերջավոր դաշտի ենթաբազմության հարակից դասերով ամենափոքր ծածկույթի երկարության վերին գնահատականը, որը հիմնված է ենթաբազմության ստաբիլիզատորի որոշ հատկությունների վրա՝ դիտարկելով ընդհանուր աֆինական խմբի գործողությունը:

**А. А. Алексанян, А. В. Минасян**

**Верхняя оценка сложности покрытия смежными классами  
подмножеств конечного поля**

Доказана верхняя оценка длины кратчайшего покрытия смежными классами подмножества конечного поля, основанная на некоторых свойствах стабилизатора подмножества, рассматривая действие общей аффинной группы.

**References**

1. *Alexanian A.* Disjunctive Normal Forms Over Linear Functions (Theory and Applications), Yerevan State Univ. Press. 1990. 201 p. (Russian).
2. *Aleksanyan A.* – Soviet Math. Dokl. 1989. V. 39. N 1. P. 131-135.
3. *Alexanian A., Serobian R.* – RNAS RA. 1992. V. 93. N 1. P. 6-10. (Russian).
4. *Alexanian A., Gabrielyan V.* – ALGEBRA, GEOMETRY & THEIR APPLICATIONS, Seminar proceedings, Yerevan State University Press. 2004. V. 3-4. P. 97-111.
5. *Nurijanyan H.* – Proceedings of the Yerevan State University, Physical and Mathematical Sciences. 2010. V. 2(222). P. 41-48.
6. *Gabrielyan V.* On Metric Characterization Connected with Covering Subset of Finite Fields by Cosets of the Linear Subspaces, Institut Problem Informatiki i Avtomatizacii. Preprint 04-0603.Yer. 2004 (Russian).
7. *V. Gabrielyan* – RNAS RA. 2010. V. 110. N 3. P. 220-227.
8. *Gabrielyan V.* On Complexity of Coset Covering of an Equation over Finite Field. Institut Problem Informatiki i Avtomatizacii. Preprint 04-0602.Yer. 2004. (Russian).
9. *Lidl R., Niederreiter H.* Finite Fields (2nd ed.). Cambridge University Press. 1997.
10. *Jamison R.* – J. Combin. Theory. Ser. A. 1977. V. 22. P. 253-266.
11. *Golomb S.* Shift Register Sequences. Holden-Day, San Francisco. 1967. Reprinted by Aegean Park Press. 1982.